



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,997	10/16/2003	Hyesook Kim	3087.00012	7448

7590 09/04/2007  
Amy E. Rinaldo  
Kohn & Associates, PLLC  
Suite 410  
30500 Northwestern Highway  
Farmington Hills, MI 48334

EXAMINER
----------

HOGUE, GARY CHAPMAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3611

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/04/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/686,997	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KIM, HYESOOK	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gary C. Hoge	<b>Art Unit</b> 3611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gary C. Hoge. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kenneth Kohn. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Glover, Beavers and Jacobson.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We were able to agree on what the teachings of the prior art were. Applicant suggested that in response to the outstanding Office action he would either amend the claims to include elements not disclosed by the prior art, or else argue that his invention yielded unexpected or unpredictable results.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

/Gary C. Hoge/  
Examiner's signature, if required